THE ETHICS AND PRACTICALITIES OF CONTINGENCY FEES



By Jeffrey Brochin, Esq.



william.anderson@greenpointglobal.com

pranav.menon@greenpointglobal.com

International Corporate Center, 555 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite A102 Rye, NY 10580

Doesn't every professional wish to be paid for the hours he or she puts into their work? The obvious answer is, 'of course!' But what if the client simply does not have the funds to pay the retainer and ongoing legal fees, yet—the case is a most promising one in terms of both the lawyer's and the client's expectations? Certain cases allow for Alternative Fee Arrangements (AFAs), and one of the most prominent of AFAs is the contingency fee. We will examine when contingency fees are permissible when they are banned and under what circumstances they make sense and are worth the risk.

Contingency Fees Explained

Basically, a contingency fee arrangement is one whereby the client is only responsible for paying the attorney's fees if the attorney wins the case. Or, as popularized by numerous television and internet ads: "No Fee Unless We Win." The fee referred to will be a percentage of the judgment awarded. So, why would the attorney, who might normally welcome legal work based on an hourly fee arrangement, be willing to work under this fee arrangement? The reasons are numerous and include the fact that it allows the attorney to engage with a client who might not have the money to retain the attorney upfront but whose case holds much merit for a positive and lucrative outcome. This consideration allows greater accessibility to legal services for the client who otherwise could not afford to proceed with their cause of action.

Or, perhaps the financial reward upon winning or settlement would be significantly greater for the attorney than if the case were handled on an hourly-rate basis. In those situations, the expectation of participating in the client's win can be a great motivating factor for the attorney to 'pull out all stops' in prosecuting a claim which might otherwise be settled on less favorable terms. In other words, contingency fee arrangements can better align the

attorney's and client's interests. Conversely, the remuneration risk is all on the law firm in the event that the expectations for success do not pan out. Notably, not all cases may be handled under such an arrangement.

ABA Model Rules

In 1994 the American Bar Association (ABA) reviewed the issue of contingency fee arrangements and promulgated Formal Opinion 94-389. In addressing the ethics of such fee arrangements, the ABA rendered the opinion that:

'It is ethical to charge contingent fees as long as the fee is appropriate and reasonable and the client has been fully informed of the availability of alternative billing arrangements. The fact that a client can afford to compensate the lawyer on another basis does not render a contingent fee arrangement for such a client unethical. Nor is it unethical to charge a contingent fee when liability is clear, and some recovery is anticipated. If the lawyer and client so contract, a lawyer is entitled to a full contingent fee on the total recovery by the client, including that portion of the recovery that is the subject of an early settlement offer that was rejected by the client. Finally, if the lawyer and client agree, it is ethical for the lawyer to charge a different contingent fee at different

'CONTINGENT FEE CONTRACTS ARE THE BASIC MEANS BY WHICH MUCH LITIGATION IN TORT IS CONDUCTED IN THIS STATE. SUCH **AGREEMENTS** ARF THE POOR MAN'S KFY TO THE COURTHOUSE **DOOR: THEY ENABLE PERSONS WHO CANNOT AFFORD TO RETAIN** AN ATTORNEY ON AN HOURIY **OR FIXED-RATE BASIS TO PURSUE** THEIR CLAIMS WITH COMPETENT COUNSEL.'

—Leonard C. Arnold, Ltd. v. Northern Trust Co. of Chicago, 139 III. App.3d 683, 93 III.Dec. 843, 846, 487 N.E.2d 668, 671 (III.App. 1985) stages of a matter and to increase the percentage taken as a fee as the amount of the recovery or savings to the client increases.'

However, contingency fee arrangements are not deemed to be ethical in all cases, and in fact, most states prohibit that arrangement in criminal cases, most family law cases, and immigration cases. The arrangement applies most commonly in personal injury, worker's compensation, and collections (essentially a breach of contract) cases; however, because local rules vary from state to state, the practitioner is advised to carefully review the rules in his or her jurisdiction before entering into a contingency fee arrangement with the client. Furthermore, the percentage that may be charged by the attorney can be limited, including based on the type of case being handled.

U.S. System Compared to European

In the European Union, contingency fee arrangements have historically been viewed unfavorably from an ethics viewpoint, and the practice was known as 'champerty', or the investment by the lawyer in the outcome of the client's case. However, recently that position has come under review as various European (and Asian) countries have announced plans to permit AFAs in one form or another, even if not an exact replica of the American system.

Currently, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, France, Greece, Ireland, Japan, Lithuania, and New Zealand are permitting contingency fee options for clients in certain cases, and courts in Spain and Germany have ruled that banning contingency fees altogether is unconstitutional. It is expected that this change in attitude and practice rules will significantly impact the growth of class action and other mass tort claims in Europe.

In January 2022, Singapore reversed the outright prohibition of AFAs and amended its Legal Profession Act 1966, permitting contingency fee arrangements in certain legal proceedings. The move is expected to enhance Singapore's reputation as a litigant-friendly dispute resolution forum.

Where the Trend is Headed

Increasingly, business law firms are opting for contingency fee arrangements with their business clients for such matters as recovering accounts receivable debt and other business claims. Like the personal injury client who is short on funds for paying their lawyer, so too, business clients—whether classified as big businesses or small—can experience cash flow problems which can hinder their ability to tender hefty retainers upfront. Furthermore, the types of business litigation cases to which contingency fees may be arranged are being expanded. IP cases involving claims of theft of trade secrets, violations of NDAs, unfair competition, and even tortious interference with business contracts are increasingly being litigated subject to contingency

fee arrangements with business clients.

With the law firm having 'skin in the game' pursuant to contingency fee arrangements, many observers believe that this form of lawyer compensation will grow in popularity and create a stronger attorney-client bond where their mutual interests will more deeply intersect.

Executive Summary

1. The Issue

What are the advantages of the contingency fee arrangement?

2. The Gravamen

Although there can be a considerable risk on the attorney side when taking on a case pursuant to a contingency fee arrangement, those risks are largely outweighed by the prospect of enhanced reward upon the successful conclusion of the claim.

3. The Path Forward

Law firms should consider expanding their contingency fee-based practice area—where permissible by law—as it attracts the client who might otherwise not be able to afford to prosecute the claim at all.

Action Items:

ABA and Local Rules:

As a starting point, fully familiarize yourself with the ABA position on contingency fee arrangements as well as what your local rules have to say about such AFAs.

Expand Contingency Fee Horizons:

Aside from the more traditional practice areas that lend themselves to contingency fee arrangements, such as personal injury, collections, and worker's compensation, investigate what other practice areas are now rife for such AFAs.

Candor With Clients:

Be open and transparent about how contingency fee arrangements can benefit a client's claim, and in particular, inform your client as to the plus side, given their particular financial situation.

Foreign Practice:

Overseas jurisdictions are currently undertaking game-changing revisions to their perspectives and rules on contingency fee arrangements, and this can have significant consequences for your international practice.

Further Readings

- 1. https://www.diamondinjurylaw.com/blog/2019/11/19/standard-contingency-fee-for-attorney/
- 2. https://ezinearticles.com/?Contingency-Fees-in-Europe&id=6602540
- 3. https://academic.oup.com/book/25734/chapter-abstract/193246526
- **4.** https://www.bizjournals.com/austin/news/2019/09/01/trend-of-business-law-firms-offering-contingent.html
- 5. https://www.clio.com/blog/contingency-fees/





Jeffrey Brochin, Esq. GREENPOINT STAFF COUNSEL AND CONTENT EDITOR

After receiving his Juris Doctor degree from The John Marshall Law School in Chicago, Mr. Brochin served as an Administrative Law Judge with the Illinois Department of Labor for six years where he presided over cases dealing with job separation issues and matters pertaining to contested Unemployment Insurance claims. He also co-wrote the agency's administrative rules, and periodically served as a 'ghost writer' for Board of Review decisions. Following that position, he was Director of Development for a Chicago-area non-profit college where he was responsible for High Net Worth donations to the institution. For the next eighteen years he practiced as a solo practitioner attorney with an emphasis in the fields of Real Estate law and Commercial Contracts transactions, and was an agent for several national title insurance agencies.

In 2003 he was recruited to head up a U.S. title insurance research office in Israel, a position he held for four years, and between 2007-2017 he participated in litigation support for several high-profile cases. He has taught Business Law as a faculty member of the Jerusalem College of Technology, and has authored a wide variety of legal White Papers and timely legal articles as a professional legal content writer for GPL clients. Separate from his legal writing, he has coauthored academic articles on Middle East security topics that have been published in peer-reviewed publications.



William H. Anderson, Esq.

MANAGING DIRECTOR AND HEAD OF
FINANCIAL PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

William Anderson is Managing Director and Head of Law & Compliance. He leads the GreenPoint practice in providing regulatory, legal, and technology solutions to law firms, legal publishers, and in-house law departments around the world, overseeing our team of experienced US attorneys and data and technology experts. Will has over 25 years' experience working with corporations to improve the management of their legal and corporate compliance functions. Will began his legal career as a litigator with a predecessor firm to Drinker, Biddle LLP. He then served as in-house counsel to Andersen Consulting LLP, managing risk and working with outside counsel on active litigation involving the firm

Will has leveraged his legal experience interpreting regulations and appearing before federal (DOJ, SEC, FTC) and state agencies (NYAG) to oversee research and other areas at Bear Stearns. In this capacity, he counseled analysts on regulatory risk and evolving compliance requirements. Will also consulted on the development of a proprietary tool to ensure effective documentation of compliance clearance of research reports. Will then went on to work in product development and content creation for a global online compliance development firm pioneering the dynamic updating of regulated firms' policies and procedures from online updates and resources. Will holds a Juris Doctorate with High Honors from the Washington University School of Law in Saint Louis and is admitted to state and federal bars. He lives in Pawling, NY, with his wife and daughter.



Sanjay Sharma, PhD FOUNDER AND CHAIRMAN



Pranav Menon, Esq.

LEGAL RECRUITMENT

MANAGER AND DATA

PRIVACY SPECIALIST - LAW

& COMPLIANCE | GPESR

GreenPoint> Law&Compliance

About GreenPoint Law & Compliance

- GreenPoint Global was founded in 2001 and since that time has faithfully served an expanding roster of clients. GreenPoint leverages a unique combination of US-trained attorneys and proprietary technology to deliver a unique offering of skill and flexibility to meet client needs.
- Our core team of experienced US attorneys is based in Israel and works US hours. The breadth of experience of our attorneys ensures high-quality, cost-effective results across a wide range of legal, compliance, and regulatory matters.
- ScreenPoint's methodology and proven track record of achieving client objectives has resulted in a broad base of clients in the United States, ranging from Fortune 500 insurance companies to solo practitioners, law firms, in-house law departments, and legal publishers. GreenPoint attorneys are selectively recruited and deployed based on possessing demonstrable subject matter expertise covering a broad spectrum of substantive US laws and regulations. The work product of our attorneys is thoroughly vetted internally before delivery to client. Adherence to quality, value and flexibility is at the core of our foundation.

GreenPoint> Global

About GreenPoint Global

- GreenPoint Global provides litigation support, finance and technology solutions to insurers, law firms, banks, and in-house law departments through our subject matter experts and process specialists.
- York, GreenPoint has grown to over 500 employees with a global footprint. We have a stable and growing client base that ranges from small and medium-sized organizations to Fortune 1000 companies worldwide. Our production and management teams are located in the US, India, and Israel with access to deep pools of subject matter experts. Our greatest strength is our employee-base of experienced lawyers, paralegals, process specialists, financial analysts, and software developers. We have leading edge software development capabilities with over 50 professionals on staff, working on internal and client projects.
- > GreenPoint is certified by the TÜV SÜD (South Asia) for the highest standards of Quality Management (ISO 9001:2015) and Information Security Management (ISO 27001:2013). GreenPoint is certified as a Minority and Woman Owned Business Enterprise (MWBE) by New York City and a Minority Owned Business Enterprise (MBE) by the State of New York. GreenPoint complies with all federal and state contracting requirements. GreenPoint is owned by its founders and principals and is debt free. For comprehensive information on our services and products under Law & Compliance and Finance, please visit our subsidiary websites through the division's menu.

